IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

ANTHONY WARREN,	}	
Plaintiff,	} }	
,	}	
V.	}	Case No.: 2:09-CV-1025-RRA
	}	
CITY OF BIRMINGHAM, et al.,	}	
	}	
Defendants.	}	

<u>ORDER</u>

This case is before the court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #57), entered June 23, 2010. Consistent with the Memorandum Opinion entered contemporaneously herewith, the Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED**. Accordingly, Defendants City of Hoover and McDuffy's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. #37), filed January 25, 2010, is **GRANTED**, and the court **ORDERS** as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's Count Four (Failure to Supervise), insofar as it contains a claim against Defendant City of Hoover, is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 2. Plaintiff's Count Five (Inadequate Training), insofar as it contains a claim against Defendant City of Hoover, is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 3. Plaintiff's Count Eight (Denial of Due Process), insofar as it contains a claim against Defendants City of Hoover and McDuffy, is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 4. Plaintiff's Count Nine (Civil Conspiracy), insofar as it contains a claim against Defendant McDuffy, is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.
- 5. Plaintiff's Count Eleven (Outrage), insofar as it contains a claim against Defendant McDuffy, is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

DONE and ORDERED this	13th	day of August, 2010.
		R. On B
		R. DAVID PROCTOR
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE